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Main research lines:

- High performance libraries for dense/sparse linear algebra problems (BLAS, LAPACK, etc.)
  - Linear systems, eigenproblems, singular values, etc.: *libflame*, *ILUPACK*
  - Strong interest in GPUs

- Power-aware computing
  - Power-aware linear algebra libraries:
    - Energy-aware SuperMatrix runtime in *libflame*
  - Virtualization of GPUs: Remote CUDA, *rCUDA*
  - Power-aware middleware: *EnergySaving Cluster*

More info at [http://www.hpca.uji.es](http://www.hpca.uji.es)
Motivation

- High performance computing:
  - Optimization of algorithms applied to solve complex problems

- Technological advance ⇒ improve performance:
  - Higher number of cores per socket (processor)

- Large number of processors and cores ⇒ High energy consumption

- Tools to analyze performance and power in order to detect code inefficiencies and reduce energy consumption
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Introduction

- **Parallel scientific applications**
  - Examples for dense linear algebra: Cholesky, QR and LU factorizations

- **Tools for power and energy analysis**
  - Power profiling in combination with Extrae+Paraver tools

  Parallel applications + Power profiling

  Environment to identify sources of power inefficiency

- **Power modeling:**
  - Predict power consumed by applications without power measurement devices even without executing them
  - Performance inefficiency normally results in hot spots in hardware and power sinks in source code

  Energy savings
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Why traces?

- Details and variability are important (along time, processors, etc.)
- Extremely useful to analyze performance of applications, also at power level!

Scientific application app.c
- Application with annotated code app’.c
- Executable code app.x

Tools for performance and power tracing

Extrae library
Other libraries:
- Computational
- Communication

Extrae API:
- Extrae_init()
- Extrae_fini()

MPI/Multi-threaded
Scientific Application
- pm_API:
  - pm_start()
  - pm_stop()
  - ...
- Extrae API:
  - Extrae_init()
  - Extrae_fini()
  - ...

Compiler+linker
- pm_library
- Extrae_library
- Other_libraries:
  - Computational
  - Communication
  - ...

MPI/Multi-threaded
Scientific Application
- Annotations
  - app’.c

MPI/Multi-threaded
Scientific Application
- Executable
  - app.x
Tracing framework

**Extrae**: instrumentation and measurement package of BSC (Barcelona Supercomputing Center):

- Intercept calls to MPI, OpenMP, PThreads
- Records relevant information: time stamped events, hardware counter values, etc.
- Dumps all information into a single trace file.

**Paraver**: graphical interface tool from BSC to analyze/visualize trace files:

- Inspection of parallelism and scalability
- High number of metrics to characterize the program and performance application
pmlib library

- Power measurement package of Jaume I University (Spain)
- Interface to interact and utilize our own power meters
- Also compatible with commercial power meters

**Server daemon**: collects data from power meters and send to clients

**Client library**: enables communication with server and synchronizes with start-stop primitives
Power measurement devices

- **Internal devices:** measure power dissipated by the components in the mainboard
  - ASIC-based powermeter (own design!)
    - LEM HXS 20-NP transductors with PIC microcontroller
    - Sampling rate: from 25 Hz to 100 Hz
    - RS232 serial port
  - National Instruments data acquisition card
    - NI9205 / cDAQ-9178
    - Sampling rate: 7 KHz!
    - USB port

- **External devices:** measure overall machine power
  - WattsUp? Pro .NET
    - Sampling rate: 1 Hz
    - Only 1 outlet!
    - USB/Ethernet ports
  - Power Distribution Unit APC 8653
    - Sampling rate: 1 Hz
    - 24 outlets
    - SNMP/ssh via Ethernet
Scientific application

Cholesky factorization:

\[ A = U^T U \]

\( A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n} \) symmetric definite positive (s.p.d.) matrix

\( U \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n} \) unit upper triangular matrix

- Consider a partitioning of matrix \( A \) into blocks of size \( b \times b \)

Example of performance and power tracing with the Cholesky factorization:

- LAPACK routine \texttt{dpotrf}
- Shared-memory parallelism is extracted by calling to the multi-thread implementations of:
  - \texttt{dpotf2}, \texttt{dtrsm}, \texttt{dsyrk} kernels from Intel MKL, AMD ACML or IBM ESSL.
Code annotation

Cholesky factorization using LAPACK code:

```c
#define A_ref(i, j) A[((j)-1)*Alfa + ((i)-1)]

void dpotrf( int n, int nb, double *A, int Alfa, int *info ){

    for (k=1; k<=n; k+=nb) {
        // Factor current diagonal block
        dpotf2( nb, &A_ref(k,k), Alfa, info );

        if( k+nb <= n ) {
            // Triangular solve
            dtrsm( "L", "U", "T", "N", nb, n-k-nb+1,
                  &done, &A_ref(k, k ), Alfa,
                  &A_ref(k, k+nb ), Alfa );

            // Update trailing submatrix
            dsyrk( "U", "T", n-k-nb+1, nb,
                  &dmone, &A_ref(k, k+nb ), Alfa,
                  &done, &A_ref(k+nb, k+nb ), Alfa );
        }
    }
}
```
Cholesky factorization using LAPACK code (Extrae routines):

```c
#define A_ref(i,j) A[((j)-1)*Alda+((i)-1)]

void dpotrf( int n, int nb, double *A, int Alda, int *info ){

    Extrae_init();
    for (k=1; k<n; k+=nb) {
        // Factor current diagonal block
        dpotf2( nb, &A_ref(k,k), Alda, info );

        if( k+nb <= n ) {
            // Triangular solve
            dtrsm( "L", "U", "T", "N", nb, n-k-nb+1, &done, &A_ref(k,k), Alda,
                   &A_ref(k,k+nb), Alda );

            // Update trailing submatrix
            dsyrk( "U", "T", n-k-nb+1, nb, &dmone, &A_ref(k,k+nb), Alda,
                   &done, &A_ref(k+nb,k+nb), Alda );
        }
    }
    Extrae_fini();
}
```
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Cholesky factorization using LAPACK code (Extrae routines):

```c
#define A_ref(i, j) A[((j)-1)*Alda+((i)-1)]

void dpotrf( int n, int nb, double *A, int Alda, int *info ){

  Extrae_init();
  for (k=1; k<=n; k+=nb) {
    // Factor current diagonal block
    Extrae_event(50000001,1);
    dpotf2(nb, &A_ref(k,k), Alda, info);
    Extrae_event(50000001,0);

    if ( k+nb <= n ) {
      // Triangular solve
      Extrae_event(50000001,2);
      dtrsm( "L", "U", "T", "N", nb, n-k-nb+1,
           &done, &A_ref( k, k ), Alda,
        &A_ref( k, k+nb ), Alda );
        Extrae_event(50000001,0);

      // Update trailing submatrix
      Extrae_event(50000001,3);
      dsyrk( "U", "T", n-k-nb+1, nb,
           &dmone, &A_ref( k, k+nb ), Alda,
            &done, &A_ref( k+nb, k+nb ), Alda );
        Extrae_event(50000001,0);

    }
  }
  Extrae_fini();
}
```
Code annotation

Cholesky factorization using LAPACK code (pmlib routines):

```c
#define A_ref(i, j) A[((j)−1)*Alda+((i)−1)]

void dpotrf(int n, int nb, double *A, int Alda, int *info ){
    pm_start_counter(&pm_ctr);
    Extrae_init();
    for (k=1; k<=n; k+=nb) {
        // Factor current diagonal block
        Extrae_event(500000001,1);
        dpotf2( nb, &A_ref(k,k), Alda, info );
        Extrae_event(500000001,0);
        if( k+nb <= n ) {
            // Triangular solve
            Extrae_event(500000001,2);
            dtrsm( "L", "U", "T", "N", nb, n−k−nb+1, 
                &done, &A_ref( k, k ), Alda, 
                &A_ref( k, k+nb ), Alda );
            Extrae_event(500000001,0);
            // Update trailing submatrix
            Extrae_event(500000001,3);
            dsyrk( "U", "T", n−k−nb+1, nb, 
                &dmone, &A_ref( k, k+nb ), Alda, 
                &done, &A_ref( k+nb, k+nb ), Alda );
            Extrae_event(500000001,0);
        }
    }
    Extrae_fini();
    pm_stop_counter(&pm_ctr);
}
```
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Basic execution schema for tracing performance and power:

Trace files:
- Extrae outputs performance.prv file
- pmlib outputs power.prv file

Tools:
- Paraver: performance and power trace visualization
- Post-processing statistic module:
  - Energy model, power per core, etc.
Experimental results

Experiments:

- Cholesky and LU factorization with partial pivoting from LAPACK and Intel MKL (dgetrf routine)
- Block size $b = 256$
- Matrix size 16, 384
- 12 cores

Environment setup:

- 4x AMD 6172 processors (total of 48 cores) (2.00 GHz) with 256 Gbytes of RAM
- Powermeter: Internal ASIC @ 25 Hz
Experimental results

Cholesky factorization from LAPACK (dpotrf)

idle dpotf2 dtrsm dsyrk sync.
Experimental results

Cholesky factorization from MKL (dpotrf)

MFLOPS

L2 cache misses

Watts
Experimental results

LU factorization with partial pivoting from LAPACK (dgetrf)
Experimental results

LU factorization with partial pivoting from MKL (dgetrf)

MFLOPS

L2 cache misses

WATTS
Power model:

\[ P = P_{C(\text{PU})} + P_{U(\text{ncore})} = P_{S(\text{tatic})} + P_{D(\text{ynamic})} + P_{U(\text{ncore})} \]

- \( P_{C(\text{PU})} \) Power dissipated by the CPU: \( P_{S(\text{tatic})} + P_{D(\text{ynamic})} \)
- \( P_{U(\text{uncore})} \) Power of remaining components (e.g. RAM)

Considerations:
- Study case: Cholesky factorization. It exercises CPU+RAM and discards other power sinks (network interface, PSU, etc.)
- We assume \( P_U \) and \( P_S \) are constants!
- \( P_S \) grows with the temperature inertia till maximum! \( \Rightarrow \) We consider a “hot” system!

Environment setup:
- Intel Xeon E5504 (2 quad-cores, total of 8 cores) @ 2.00 GHz with 32 GB RAM
- Intel MKL 10.3.9 for sequential dpotrf, dtrsm, dsyrk and dgemm kernels
- SMPSs 2.5 for task-level parallelism
- Internal power meter sampling at 25 Hz
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**Uncore and static power**

Obtaining $P^{U(uncore)}$ and $P^{S(tatic)}$ components:

- $P^U$ directly obtained measuring idle platform: $P^U = 46.37\,\text{Watts}$

- $P^S$ obtained by executing dgemm kernel using 1 to 4 cores and adjusting via linear regression:

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{Linear regression: } P_{\text{dgemm}}(c) &= \alpha + \beta \cdot c = 67.97 + 12.75 \cdot c \\

P^S &\approx \alpha - P^U = 67.97 - 46.37 = 21.6\,\text{Watts}
\end{align*}
\]
Dynamic power of kernels of the Cholesky factorization:

- To obtain $P_{K}^{D}$ we continuously invoke the kernel $K$ until power stabilizes and then sample this value. Example for dgemm:

$$P_{G}^{D} = P_{\text{dgemm}} - P_{S} - P_{U} = P_{\text{dgemm}} - 67.97 \text{ Watts}$$

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Task</th>
<th>1 kernel mapped to 1 core</th>
<th>2 kernels mapped to 2 cores of different sockets</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Block size, $b$</td>
<td>128</td>
<td>192</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$P_{D}^{D}$ (dpotrf)</td>
<td>10.26</td>
<td>10.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$P_{D}^{T}$ (dtrsm)</td>
<td>10.12</td>
<td>10.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$P_{S}^{S}$ (dsyrk)</td>
<td>11.22</td>
<td>11.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$P_{G}^{D}$ (dgemm)</td>
<td>11.98</td>
<td>12.54</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Power increases linearly with the number of threads, from 1 to 4 mapped to a single core.
- When two sockets are used, linear function changes, so we take into account this issue:

$$P_{G}^{D} = \frac{P_{\text{dgemm}} - 67.97}{2}$$
Power/energy model testing

Power model:

\[ P_{Chol}(t) = P^U + P^S + P_{Chol}^D(t) = P^U + P^S + \sum_{i=1}^r \sum_{j=1}^c P_i^D N_{i,j}(t) \]

- \( r \) stands for the number of different types of tasks, \( r=5 \) for Cholesky
- \( c \) stands for the number of threads/cores
- \( P_i^D \) average dynamic power for task of type \( i \)
- \( N_{i,j}(t) \) equals to 1 if thread \( j \) is executing a task of type \( i \) at time \( t \); equals 0 otherwise

Energy model:

\[ E_{Chol} = (P^U + P^S) T + \int_{t=0}^{T} P_{Chol}^D(t) \]

\[ = (P^U + P^S) T + \sum_{i=1}^r \sum_{j=1}^c P_i^D \left( \int_{t=0}^{T} N_{i,j}(t) \right) = (P^U + P^S) T + \sum_{i=1}^r \sum_{j=1}^c P_i^D T_{i,j} \]

- \( T_{i,j} \) total execution time for task of type \( i \) onto the core \( j \)

Experiments:

- Matrix sizes: \( n = 4096, 8192, \ldots, 32768 \)
- Block sizes \( b = 128, 192, 256, 512 \)
- Cores/threads \( c = 2, 3, \ldots, 8 \)
Experimental results

Error in total energy consumption, $b=128$

Error in dynamic energy consumption, $b=128$

Error in total energy consumption, $b=192$

Error in dynamic energy consumption, $b=192$
Experimental result

Error in total energy consumption, b=256

Error in dynamic energy consumption, b=256

Error in total energy consumption, b=512

Error in dynamic energy consumption, b=512
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Conclusions and future work

Performance and power tracing:

- Detect code inefficiencies in order to reduce energy consumption
- Very useful to detect bottlenecks in the code:

Power model:

- Evaluation of hybrid analytical-experimental model, based on a reduced group of experimental data
- High accuracy in the estimated total energy (±5%) and estimated dynamic energy (±15%)

Future work:

- Developing models for numerical libraries
Thanks for your attention!
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